



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/667,152

09/16/2003

Andrew Lines

FULCP006

8727

22434 7590 05/13/2008
BEYER WEAVER LLP
P.O. BOX 70250
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250

EXAMINER

LI, AIMEE J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2183

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/13/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/667,152	Applicant(s) LINES ET AL.	
	Examiner AIMEE J. LI	Art Unit 2183	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 February 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22,27-44 and 49 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22,27-44 and 49 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-22, 27-44, and 49 have been considered.

Papers Submitted

2. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed of record in the file: Amendment as filed 15 February 2008 and Extension of Time for 1 Month as filed 15 February 2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-14, 20, 22, 27-32, 34-35, 37-42, 44, and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vegesna et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,488,729 (herein referred to as Vegesna) in view of Chu, U.S. Patent Number 5,920,899 (herein referred to as Chu).

5. Referring to claims 1, 22, and 27, taking claim 1 as exemplary, Vegesna has taught a circuit for processing units of data having a program order associated therewith (Vegesna column 8, lines 17-26), the circuit comprising

- a. An N-way-issue resource comprising N parallel pipelines (Vegesna column 7, lines 13-28; Figure 2; column 8, lines 27-37; column 12, line 55 to column 13, line 15; Figure 13; column 15, lines 11-23; Figure 15; column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; and Figure 24),

Art Unit: 2183

- b. Each pipeline being operable to transmit a subset of the units of data in a first-in first-out manner (Vegesna column 7, lines 13-28; Figure 2; column 8, lines 17-37; column 12, line 55 to column 13, line 15; Figure 13; column 14, lines 53-61; Figure 14(b); column 15, lines 11-23; and Figure 15),
 - c. Wherein the data units are issued to the respective pipelines such that up to N data units enter the N pipelines (Vegesna column 7, lines 13-28; Figure 2; column 8, lines 17-37; column 12, line 55 to column 13, line 15; Figure 13; column 14, lines 53-61; Figure 14(b); column 15, lines 11-23 and 43-54; and Figure 15); and
 - d. Wherein the circuit is operable to sequentially control transmission of the units of data in the pipelines such that the program order is maintained (Vegesna column 7, lines 13-28; Figure 2; column 8, lines 17-37; column 12, line 55 to column 13, line 15; Figure 13; column 14, lines 53-61; Figure 14(b); column 15, lines 11-23 and 43-54; and Figure 15).
6. Vegesna has not taught the circuit is an asynchronous circuit,
- a. The asynchronous circuit being configured to employ asynchronous flow control to facilitate transmission of the data units,
 - b. The asynchronous flow control being characterized by an average cycle time,
 - c. Wherein the data units are issued to the respective pipelines staggered in time during the average cycle time.
7. Chu has taught the circuit is an asynchronous circuit,
- a. The asynchronous circuit being configured to employ asynchronous flow control to facilitate transmission of the data units (Chu column 3, line 13 to column 4,

Art Unit: 2183

line 20; column 3, lines 40-47; column 4, lines 50-60; column 5, line 60 to column 6, line 32; column 9, lines 26-46; Figure 1; Figure 7; Figure 8; and Figure 15),

- b. The asynchronous flow control being characterized by an average cycle time (Chu column 3, line 13 to column 4, line 20; column 3, lines 40-47; column 4, lines 50-60; column 5, line 60 to column 6, line 32; column 9, lines 26-46; Figure 1; Figure 7; Figure 8; and Figure 15 – In regards to Chu, as the timing diagram shows, the pipeline handles data over an average cycle length, T_{CYCLE}),
- c. Wherein the data units are issued to the respective pipelines staggered in time during the average cycle time (Chu column 3, line 13 to column 4, line 20; column 3, lines 40-47; column 4, lines 50-60; column 5, line 60 to column 6, line 32; column 9, lines 26-46; Figure 1; Figure 7; Figure 8; and Figure 15 – In regards to Chu, the asynchronous pipeline taught fetches an instruction and data when the first stage is ready.).

8. A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, and as taught by Chu, would have recognized that asynchronous pipelines increases overall throughput of the system, moves data through the pipeline faster, and minimizes the amount of time a source waits before writing to the pipeline (Chu column 3, line 65 to column 4, line 6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the asynchronous pipelines of Chu in the device of Vegesna to increase throughput, move data in the pipeline faster, and minimize data wait times.

Art Unit: 2183

9. Claims 22 and 27 are substantially similar to claim 1 and rejection for the same reasons above. The only differences being that claim 22 is a computer-readable medium having data structures stored therein representative of claim 1 and claim 27 is a set of semiconductor processing masks.

10. Referring to claim 2, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein the circuit comprises a processor (Vegesna column 1, lines 13-36) and wherein the N-way-issue resource comprises an instruction pipeline (Vegesna column 7, lines 13-28; Figure 2; column 8, lines 27-37; column 12, line 55 to column 13, line 15; Figure 13; column 14, lines 53-61; Figure 14(b); column 15, lines 11-23; Figure 15; column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; and Figure 24).

11. Referring to claim 3, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein N comprises an integer greater than 1 (Vegesna column 7, lines 13-28; Figure 2; column 8, lines 27-37; column 12, line 55 to column 13, line 15; Figure 13; column 15, lines 11-23; Figure 15; column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; and Figure 24).

12. Referring to claim 4, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught an M-way-issue resource (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24), and interface circuitry operable to facilitate communication between the N-way-issue resource and the M-way-issue resource (Vegesna column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

13. Referring to claim 5, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein M is fewer than N (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

Art Unit: 2183

14. Referring to claim 6, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein M is 1 and N is 2 (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

15. Referring to claim 7, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein M is greater than N (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

16. Referring to claim 8, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein M is 4 and N is 2 (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

17. Referring to claim 9, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein the interface circuitry is operable to facilitate transmission of selected ones of the data units from the N-way-issue resource to the M-way issue resource (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

18. Referring to claim 10, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein the interface circuitry is operable to facilitate transmission of selected ones of the data units from the M-way-issue resource to the N-way issue resource (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

19. Referring to claim 11, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein the interface circuitry is operable to facilitate transmission of first selected ones of the data units from the N-way-issue resource to the M way-issue resource, and second selected ones of the data units from the M-way-issue resource to the N-way-issue resource (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18;

Art Unit: 2183

column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

20. Referring to claim 12, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein there is a one-to-one correspondence between the first and second selected data units (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

21. Referring to claim 13, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein there is not a one-to-one correspondence between the first and second selected data units (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

22. Referring to claim 14, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein the asynchronous circuit comprises a processor and wherein each of the N-way-issue resource and the M-way-issue resource comprises one of an instruction dispatcher, a register file, an instruction cache, a branch predictor, an instruction fetch circuit, a writeback circuit, an instruction decoding circuit, an execution pipeline, and branch circuitry (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

23. Referring to claim 20, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein each pipeline comprises a plurality of stages, corresponding stages in each pipeline being interconnected in a state loop operable to communicate state information among the pipeline stages (Vegesna column 7, lines 13-28; Figure 2; column 8, lines 27-37; column 12, line 55 to column 13, line 15; Figure 13; column 14, lines 53-61; Figure 14(b); column 15, lines 11-23; Figure 15; column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; and Figure 24).

24. Referring to claims 28, 44, and 49, Vegesna has taught a heterogeneous system for processing units of data having a program order associated therewith (Vegesna column 8, lines 17-26), the system comprising

- a. An N-way issue resource and at least one multiple-issue resource having an order different from N (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24),
- b. The N-way issue resource being configured such that the units of data are issued to N parallel pipelines such that up to N data units enter the N pipelines (Vegesna column 7, lines 13-28; Figure 2; column 8, lines 17-37; column 12, line 55 to column 13, line 15; Figure 13; column 14, lines 53-61; Figure 14(b); column 15, lines 11-23 and 43-54; and Figure 15); and
- c. The system further comprising interface circuitry operable to facilitate communication between the N-way-issue resource and the at least one multiple-issue resource (Vegesna column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24) and to preserve the program order in all of the resources (Vegesna column 7, lines 13-28; Figure 2; column 8, lines 17-37; column 12, line 55 to column 13, line 15; Figure 13; column 14, lines 53-61; Figure 14(b); column 15, lines 11-23 and 43-54; and Figure 15).

25. Vegesna has not taught

- a. Asynchronous flow control to facilitate transmission of the data units,
- b. The asynchronous flow control being characterized by an average cycle time,

- c. Wherein the data units are issued to the respective pipelines staggered in time during the average cycle time.
- 26. Chu has taught
 - a. Asynchronous flow control to facilitate transmission of the data units (Chu column 3, line 13 to column 4, line 20; column 3, lines 40-47; column 4, lines 50-60; column 5, line 60 to column 6, line 32; column 9, lines 26-46; Figure 1; Figure 7; Figure 8; and Figure 15),
 - b. The asynchronous flow control being characterized by an average cycle time (Chu column 3, line 13 to column 4, line 20; column 3, lines 40-47; column 4, lines 50-60; column 5, line 60 to column 6, line 32; column 9, lines 26-46; Figure 1; Figure 7; Figure 8; and Figure 15 – In regards to Chu, as the timing diagram shows, the pipeline handles data over an average cycle length, T_{CYCLE}),
 - c. Wherein the data units are issued to the respective pipelines staggered in time during the average cycle time (Chu column 3, line 13 to column 4, line 20; column 3, lines 40-47; column 4, lines 50-60; column 5, line 60 to column 6, line 32; column 9, lines 26-46; Figure 1; Figure 7; Figure 8; and Figure 15 – In regards to Chu, the asynchronous pipeline taught fetches an instruction and data when the first stage is ready.).
- 27. A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, and as taught by Chu, would have recognized that asynchronous pipelines increases overall throughput of the system, moves data through the pipeline faster, and minimizes the amount of time a source waits before writing to the pipeline (Chu column 3, line 65 to column 4, line 6). Therefore, it would

Art Unit: 2183

have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the asynchronous pipelines of Chu in the device of Vegesna to increase throughput, move data in the pipeline faster, and minimize data wait times.

28. Claims 44 and 49 are substantially similar to claim 28 and rejection for the same reasons above. The only differences being that claim 44 is a computer-readable medium having data structures stored therein representative of claim 28 and claim 49 is a set of semiconductor processing masks.

29. Referring to claim 29, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein the at least one multiple-issue resource comprises a plurality of multiple-issue resources having different orders (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

30. Referring to claim 30, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein the interface circuitry comprises a dispatch circuit operable to route the data units received from the N-way issue resource on a first number of input channels to designated ones of a second number of output channels associated with the at least one multiple-issue resource in a deterministic manner thereby preserving a partial ordering for each output channel defined by the program order (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

31. Referring to claim 31, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein the N-way issue resource comprises first and second pipelines (Vegesna column 7, lines 13-28; Figure 2; column 8, lines 27-37; column 12, line 55 to column 13, line 15; Figure 13; column 15, lines 11-23; Figure 15; column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; and

Figure 24), and the interface circuitry comprises a dual filter comprising a dual-issue input datapath corresponding to the first and second pipelines, a single-issue output datapath, and a control channel, the dual filter being operable to selectively transmit data tokens on the input datapath to the output datapath according to control information on the control channel (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

32. Referring to claim 32, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein the interface circuitry comprises remapping circuitry operable to route the data units received from the at least one multiple-issue resource on a first number of input channels to designated ones of a second number of output channels associated with the N-way issue resource in a manner which preserves the program order (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

33. Referring to claim 34, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein the at least one multiple-issue resource comprises an M-way issue resource where M is an integer multiple of N (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24), and wherein the interface circuitry comprises a plurality of split circuits which operate alternately to transmit the data units from the N-way issue resource to the M-way issue resource, and a plurality of merge circuits which operate alternately to transmit the data units from the M-way issue resource to the N-way issue resource (Vegesna column 31, line 58 to column 33, line 35; Figure 19; Figure 27; and Figure 28).

34. Referring to claim 35, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein the at least one

multiple-issue resource comprises an M-way issue resource where M is less than N (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24), and wherein the interface circuitry comprises at least one optional assign circuit which is operable to receive the data units from both of the N-way issue resource and M-way issue resource and to selectively transmit the received data units back into the N-way issue resource, thereby mitigating effects of a difference in throughput between the N-way issue resource and the M-way issue resource (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

35. Referring to claim 37, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein the at least one optional assign comprises first and second input datapaths, an output datapath, and a control input, the at least one optional assign being operable to transmit a first data token on the first input datapath to the output datapath when the control input is in a first state, the at least one optional assign further being operable to discard the first data token and to transmit a second data token on the second input datapath to the output datapath when the control input is in a second state (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

36. Referring to claim 38, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein the at least one optional assign further being operable to discard the second data token and to transmit the first data token to the output datapath when the control input is in a third state (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

37. Referring to claim 39, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein the interface circuitry comprises a dual repeat circuit comprising a single-issue data input channel, a dual-issue data output channel, and a control channel, the dual repeat circuit being operable in response to control information on the control channel to transmit a first data token on the input channel to the output channel and to maintain the first data token on the input channel for future use, the dual repeat circuit also being operable in response to the control information to transmit a second data token on the input channel to the output channel and to discard the second data token so that the input channel can receive subsequent data token (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

38. Referring to claim 40, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein the N-way issue resource has N pipelines associated therewith, and wherein the at least one multiple-issue resource has P pipelines associated therewith, and wherein N may be any of fewer than P, equal to P, or greater than P (Vegesna column 7, lines 13-28; Figure 2; column 8, lines 27-37; column 12, line 55 to column 13, line 15; Figure 13; column 15, lines 11-23; Figure 15; column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; and Figure 24).

39. Referring to claim 41, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein there is a one-to-one correspondence between the data units in the N-way issue resource and the data units in the at least one multiple-issue resource (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

40. Referring to claim 42, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught wherein, at any given time, more of the data units are in the N-way issue resource than the at least one multiple-issue

Art Unit: 2183

resource (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

41. Claims 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vegesna et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,488,729 (herein referred to as Vegesna) in view of Chu, U.S. Patent Number 5,920,899 (herein referred to as Chu), as applied to claims 1 and 4 above, and in further view of Hinton et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,428,811 (herein referred to as Hinton). Vegesna in view of Chu has not taught

- a. Wherein the interface circuitry is operable to identify selected ones of the data units in a higher order one of the resources for transmission to a lower order one of the resources (Applicant's claim 15).
- b. Wherein the interface circuitry is operable to transmit selected ones of the data units generated by a lower order issue one of the resources to a higher order issue one of the resources in such a way as to facilitate preservation of the program order (Applicant's claim 16).
- c. Wherein each pipeline is operable to transmit the units of data in accordance with an asynchronous handshake protocol (Applicant's claim 17).
- d. Wherein the asynchronous handshake protocol between a sender and a receiver in each of the pipelines comprises (Applicant's claim 18):
 - i. The sender sets a data signal valid when an enable signal from the receiver goes high (Applicant's claim 18);
 - ii. The receiver lowers the enable signal upon receiving the valid data signal (Applicant's claim 18);

- iii. The sender sets the data signal neutral upon receiving the low enable signal (Applicant's claim 18); and
 - iv. The receiver raises the enable signal upon receiving the neutral data signal (Applicant's claim 19).
 - e. Wherein the handshake protocol is delay-insensitive (Applicant's claim 19).
42. However, Vegesna in view of Chu has taught a multi-functional unit approach, dividing the functional units according to the number of cycles needed to complete an operation (Vegesna column 12, line 55 to column 13, line 15; Figure 13; column 14, lines 53-61; column 15, lines 11-22; and Figure 15). Hinton has taught a multi-functional unit, dividing the functional units according to the number of cycles needed to complete an operation (Hinton column 4, lines 15-29)
- a. Wherein the interface circuitry is operable to identify selected ones of the data units in a higher order one of the resources for transmission to a lower order one of the resources (Applicant's claim 15) (Hinton Abstract; column 4, lines 8-29; column 5, line 21 to column 6, line 64; column 7, lines 33-54; column 8, line 55 to column 10, line 28; Figure 1; and Figure 3).
 - b. Wherein the interface circuitry is operable to transmit selected ones of the data units generated by a lower order issue one of the resources to a higher order issue one of the resources in such a way as to facilitate preservation of the program order (Applicant's claim 16) (Hinton Abstract; column 4, lines 8-29; column 5, line 21 to column 6, line 64; column 7, lines 33-54; column 8, line 55 to column 10, line 28; Figure 1; and Figure 3).

- c. Wherein each pipeline is operable to transmit the units of data in accordance with an asynchronous handshake protocol (Applicant's claim 17) (Hinton Abstract; column 4, lines 8-29; column 5, line 21 to column 6, line 64; column 7, lines 33-54; column 8, line 55 to column 10, line 28; Figure 1; and Figure 3).
- d. Wherein the asynchronous handshake protocol between a sender and a receiver in each of the pipelines comprises (Applicant's claim 18):
 - i. The sender sets a data signal valid when an enable signal from the receiver goes high (Applicant's claim 18) (Hinton Abstract; column 4, lines 8-29; column 5, line 21 to column 6, line 64; column 7, lines 33-54; column 8, line 55 to column 10, line 28; Figure 1; and Figure 3);
 - ii. The receiver lowers the enable signal upon receiving the valid data signal (Applicant's claim 18) (Hinton Abstract; column 4, lines 8-29; column 5, line 21 to column 6, line 64; column 7, lines 33-54; column 8, line 55 to column 10, line 28; Figure 1; and Figure 3);
 - iii. The sender sets the data signal neutral upon receiving the low enable signal (Applicant's claim 18) (Hinton Abstract; column 4, lines 8-29; column 5, line 21 to column 6, line 64; column 7, lines 33-54; column 8, line 55 to column 10, line 28; Figure 1; and Figure 3); and
 - iv. The receiver raises the enable signal upon receiving the neutral data signal (Applicant's claim 19) (Hinton Abstract; column 4, lines 8-29; column 5, line 21 to column 6, line 64; column 7, lines 33-54; column 8, line 55 to column 10, line 28; Figure 1; and Figure 3).

- e. Wherein the handshake protocol is delay-insensitive (Applicant's claim 19)
(Hinton Abstract; column 4, lines 8-29; column 5, line 21 to column 6, line 64;
column 7, lines 33-54; column 8, line 55 to column 10, line 28; Figure 1; and
Figure 3).

43. A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have recognized that the protocol of Hinton ensures that all data and register space necessary for an instruction to be executed and completed is available, thereby ensuring that an instruction can properly execute prior to issuing the instruction to the execution units. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the handshake protocol of Hinton in the device of Vegesna in view of Chu to ensure an instruction can properly be executed before issuing the instruction to the execution units.

44. Claims 21 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vegesna et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,488,729 (herein referred to as Vegesna) in view of Chu, U.S. Patent Number 5,920,899 (herein referred to as Chu), as applied to claim 1 above, and in further view of Ahlgren et al.'s "SiGe Comes of Age in the Semiconductor Industry" ©08 July 2002 (herein referred to as Ahlgren). Vegesna in view of Chu has not taught wherein the asynchronous circuit or the system comprises any of a CMOS integrated circuit, a GaAs integrated circuit, and a SiGe integrated circuit. Ahlgren has taught the circuit or the system comprises any of a CMOS integrated circuit, a GaAs integrated circuit, and a SiGe integrated circuit (Ahlgren page 1, "Technology Overview"). A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, and as recognized by Ahlgren, would have recognized CMOS circuits more efficiently use power, GaAs circuits improves performance by boosting switching

speed, and SiGe circuits provides a performance boost as well. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the CMOS, GaAs, and SiGe circuits of Ahlgren for power consumption efficiency and performance boosts.

45. Claims 33 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vegesna et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,488,729 (herein referred to as Vegesna) in view of Chu, U.S. Patent Number 5,920,899 (herein referred to as Chu), as applied to claim 35 above, and in further view of Murase et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,832,303 (herein referred to as Murase). Vegesna in view of Chu has taught

- a. Wherein the remapping circuitry comprises a circuit which is controlled by routing information generated when the data units are transmitted from the N-way issue resource to the at least one multiple-issue resource (Applicant's claim 33) (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).
- b. Wherein the interface circuitry further comprises a circuit by which the data units are transmitted from the M-way issue resource to the at least one optional assign circuit (Applicant's claim 36) (Vegesna column 19, lines 58-62; Figure 18; column 23, lines 8-42; column 26, lines 3-49; column 27, line 33 to column 29, line 5; and Figure 24).

46. Vegesna in view of Chu has not taught the circuit is a crossbar circuit. Murase has taught a crossbar circuit (Murase column 1, lines 50-61; column 2, lines 29-45; column 2, line 66 to column 3, line 18; Figure 1; column 6, lines 20-51; and Figure 5). A person of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2183

the art at the time the invention was made, and as taught by Murase, would have recognized that the crossbar switch reduces the number of signal lines while performing at higher speeds (Murase column 1, lines 50-54). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the crossbar of Murase in the device of Vegesna in view of Chu to perform high-speed interconnection switches while reducing the number of signal lines.

Response to Arguments

47. Applicants' arguments filed 15 February 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

48. Applicants' argue in essence on pages 12-15

The combination of the teachings of Vegesna and Chu as proposed by the Examiner must fail for a number of reasons.

49. This has not been found persuasive. It appears Applicant is arguing that the device of Chu cannot be bodily incorporated into the device of Vegesna. However, in an obviousness rejection, it is not about whether one invention can be bodily incorporated into another invention, but what the combined teachings would have suggested to one of ordinary skill in the art. Applicants' arguments attempt to show that bodily incorporation of the secondary reference, Chu, in the primary reference, Vegesna, would fail due to numerous missing elements or adjustments of incorporating Chu directly into Vegesna. However, there is no support of the necessity of these elements and adjustments other than Applicants' assertion and is based upon bodily incorporating Chu into Vegesna. In response to applicant's argument of bodily incorporation, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may

be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

50. Applicants' argue in essence on page 14

...the present application explicitly recites that "data units are issued to the respective pipelines staggered in time such that up to N data units enter the N pipelines during the average cycle time." This limitation is neither taught not suggested by the cited references either alone, or in combination with each other. The Examiner points...in Chu to support the assertion that this limitation is shown. However...Chu *cannot* show this limitation...

51. This has not been found persuasive. The previous rejection, copied above, cited Vegesna as teaching this limitation not Chu. Chu was relied upon to teach the asynchronous aspect of the claims, while Vegesna was relied upon to teach the parallelism.

Conclusion

52. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

53. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2183

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

54. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AIMEE J. LI whose telephone number is (571)272-4169. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 7:00am-4:30pm.

55. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Chan can be reached on (571) 272-4162. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

56. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Aimee J Li/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2183

12 May 2008